Two New Species of the *Rhabdochona* Railliet, 1916 (Rhabdochonidae) from a Fresh Water Fish *Cyprinion macrostomus* Heckel, from Iraq

Z. I. F. RAHEMO* AND M. H. KASIM

Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

(Received for publication; September 14, 1977)

Introduction

As yet no rhabdochonid nematode has been recorded from Iraqi fresh water fish. This paper describes two new species of the genus *Rhabdochona* Railliet, 1916, from local fish, *Cyprinion macrostomus* Heckel.

Specimens of the nematode were collected from the intestine of *Cyprinion macrostomus*, from river Tigris, Mosul District, fixed and then preserved in 70% alcohol. They were examined in glycerine jelly or lactophenol preparations.

Rhabdochona mesopotamica n. sp. (Plate I, Figs. 1-6)

(Flate 1, Figs. 1-0)

Description: Small worm, with eel like mevement. Body cylindrical attenuated at both ends. Mouth with two lateral lips, buccal capsule funnel shaped supported by 20 short longitudinal ridges, mesostome long, narrow and of uniform diameter. Oesophagus long, divided into a short narrow muscular anterior and along glandular posterior parts. Intestine simple without diverticula, cuticle smooth. The host fishes are found to be infected throughout the year.

Male: Body 3.18 mm long, 0.10 to 0.12

mm wide. Buccal capsule (prostome) 0.012 to 0.016 mm long, 0.008 to 0.012 mm wide. Pharynx (mesostome) 0.036 to 0.072 mm long, 0.004 to 0.008 mm wide. Muscular part of oesophagus 0.08 to 0.20 mm long, 0.016 to 0.02 mm wide; posterior glandular part 0.85 to 1.17 mm long, 0.32 to 0.65 mm wide. Tail pointed, 0.12 to 0.20 mm long and curved ventrally. Caudal alae narrow, extending upto the tip of the tail. There are 5 pairs of pre-cloacal and 6 pairs of postcloacal papillae. Spicules unequal and dissimilar. Right spicule smaller, 0.07 to 0.08 mm long, with a reflected barb. The left or the longer spicule 0.28 to 0.32 mm long and distally provided with a long expanded spoon-like structure. The spicule ratio 1:4, gubernaculm absent.

Female: Body 3.35 to 7.31 mm long, 0.13 to 0.16 mm wide. Prostome, 0.02 mm long and 0.12 mm wide; mesostome, 0.03 to 0.07 mm long, 0.004 to 0.008 mm wide. Anterior muscular and posterior glandular part of oesophagus 0.17 to 0.29 mm long, 0.02 to 0.024 mm wide and 1.11 to 1.62 mm long, 0.09 to 0.12 mm wide, respectively. Excretory pore located anteriorly at a distance of 0.16 to 0.22 mm from the anterior body end. Tail straight, pointed posteriorly, 0.14 to 0.20 mm long. Vulva at middle of the body and provided with conspicuous lips. Vagina short, muscular and anteriorly directed. Eggs thick shelled, smooth, 0.024 to 0.032

^{*} Present address: Laboratory of Zoology, College of Agriculture and Forestry, Hamman Al-Alil, Mosul, Iraq.



Figs. 1-6. Rhabdochona mesopotamica n. sp. Fig. 1 Anterior region of female, lateral view. Fig. 2 Apical view of female. Fig. 3 Male tail, lateral view. Fig. 4 Female tail, lateral view. Fig. 5 Vulva. Fig. 6 Eggs.

mm long, 0.016 to 0.02 mm wide. Host: Cyprinion macrostomus Heckel Location: Intestine Locality: Mosul

Rhabdochona grandipapillata n. sp. (Plate II, Figs. 1-6)

Description: Worms large, cylindrical and attenuated at both ends. Mouth with two lateral lips, buccal capsule funnel shaped and supported by 16 longitudinal ridges. Oesophagus long with two parts also. The fish host is found to be infected throughout the year.

Male: Body 6.46 to 7.48 mm long, about 0.09 mm wide. Prostome 0.018 to 0.02 mm long, while mesostome 0.06 to 0.068 mm long, 0.04 to 0.08 mm wide. Anterior muscular and the posterior glandular part of oesophagus 0.20 to 0.26 mm long, 0.02 to 0.03 mm wide and 1.36 to 1.7 mm long, 0.08 to 0.34 mm wide, respectively. Tail pointed, recurved ventrally, 0.16 to 0.20 mm long. Caudal alae narrow, extending upto the tip of the tail. There are 12 pairs of pre-cloacal, and five pairs of post-cloacal papillae. Spicules unequal and dissimilar. Right (smaller) spicule scoop-like, 0.06 to 0.07 mm long, 0.02 to 0.03 mm wide. Left (longer), 0.24 to 0.30 mm long, 0.01 to 0.02 mm wide. The spicule ratio, 1; 4. The cervical papillae very large, located at about 0.03 mm from the anterior end of the worm, and consists of three parts, a hook-like projection about 0.012 by 0.02 mm, a base 0.004 by 0.016 mm, and a small process, 0.004 mm long.

Female : Body 9.2 to 15.3 mm long, 0.08 to 0.1 mm wide. Prostome, 0.016 to 0.2 mm long, about 0.02 mm wide. Mesostome, 0.06 to 0.09 mm long, 0.004 to 0.008 mm wide. Anterior muscular and posterior glandular parts of oesophagus, 0.24 to 0.28 mm long, 0.024 to 0.036 mm wide, and 0.22 to 0.48 mm long, 0.08 to 0.12 mm wide, respectively. Tail end, straight, pointed posteriorly, 0.20 to 0.24 mm long. Vulva at middle of the body. Vagina short, muscular and upwardly directed. Eggs thick shelled, smooth, 0.016 to 0.024 mm long, 0.008 to 0.014 mm wide. Host: Cyprinion macrostomus Heckel Location: Intestine Locality: Mosul

Discussion

Rhabdochoinoides n. subgenus Rhabdochona mesopotamica n. sp.

According to Moravec (1975), this parasite of Rhabdochona can be put under a certain subgenus. On the bases of buccal teeth number, it can be put under the subgenus Sinonema Moravec, 1975. But on the bases of the body ends, the absence of lateral alae, and the smoothed eggs, it can be put under subgenus Rhabdochona Railliet, 1916. The present species, however, lacks deirids in comparison with other subgenera including Sinonema and Rhabdochona. There has been no report of any species without deirids except that of R. minima Moravec and Daniel, 1976. The authors doubt the absence of this structure in R. minima, because its description by Moravec and Daniel (1976) was based on only two small male specimens. Therefore, the authors assign another subgenus in addition to the five subgenera of Moravec (1975) which are still incomplete. The name Rhabdochonoides (a new subgenus) is given due to its close similarity to the subgenus The new subgenus has the Rhabdochona. following diagnosis : prostome provided with 20 anterior teeth; basal teeth, lateral alae and deirids are absent; male tail tip pointed; female tail straight pointed posteriorly; eggs thick shelled, smooth without swellings or any projections.

The bases of species classification of this parasite are discussed by the following. According to Rasheed (1965) and Moravec (1975), this parasite bears similaritiies and dissimilarities to Eurasian species of *Rhabdochona*. The body length of both males and females resembles that of *R. singhi* Ali, 1956, but it is smaller than that of *R. sarana* Karve et Naik, 1951, *R. glyptothoracic* Karve et Naik, 1951, *R. garuari* Agrawal, 1965, and *R. hospeti* Thapar, 1950. Concerning the buccal teeth number, our findings con-



Plate II

Figs. 1-6. Rhabdochona grandipapillata n. sp.

Fig. 1 Anterior region of female, Lateral view. Fig. 2 Apical view of female. Fig. 3 Male tail, lateral view. Fig. 4 Female tail, lateral view. Fig. 5 Vulva. Fig. 6 Eggs.

firm the description of Wu (1949) who reported 20–22 buccal teeth in R. euchiloglanis in which Moravec (1975) doubted. The pre-

cloacal papillae number is somewhat similar to that of R. singhi, but differs from that of R. hospeti. The postcloacal papillae num-

ber is similar to that of R. hospeti but differs from that of R. singhi. The spicule length of male is to some extend close to that of R. cascadilla Wigdor, 1918, (a Northern American species), but it differs from that of R. hospeti. The spicule ratio closely resembles to that of R. anguillae Spaul, 1927, and differs from that of the other Eurasian species. The vulva position is similar to that of R. hellichi Sramek, 1910. The eggs are smooth in comparison with that of R. smythi Agrawal, 1965, in which the eggs are filamentous.

Therefore, this parasite distinctly differs from all other known species of *Rhabdochona* in the abscence of deirids, body length, buccal teeth number, pre- and post- cloacal papillae number, spicule length and ratio, and egg surface. Moreover, the host and the geographical region are different. This parasite is considered as a new species which is designated as *Rhabdochona mesopotamica* n, sp.

Rhabdochona grandipapillata n. sp.

The present parasite when referred to the key proposed by Rasheed (1965) bears similarities and disimilarities with one or other species previously described. The size and complexity of deirids resembles that of R. fortunatowi Dinniki, 1933, and R. ovifilamenta Weller, 1938; however, the morphology of this structure differs from that of the rest reported species of Rhabdochona including R. mesopotamica n. sp. (above mentioned). The male size is smaller than that of R. glyptothoracic and that of R. hellichi. The female is smaller than that of R. glyptothoracic and that of R. hospeti. Also, the male and female are larger than that of R. meso*potamica* n. sp. The buccal teeth number is less than that of R. mesopotamica n. sp.

From the above comparisons, therefore, the present parasite is placed directly under the subgenus *Rhabdochona* Railliet 1916, after its reconstruction by Moravec (1975), and it is considered as a new species named : *Rhabdochona grandipapillata* n. sp.

Summary

Two new species of the genus *Rhabdochona* Railliet, 1916, were described and compared with Eurasian species from a freshwater fish, *Cyprinion macrostomus* Heckel, in Iraq. The first one, named *R. mesopotamica* n. sp. is considered to represent a new subgenus of *Rhabdochona* due mainly to its large number of buccal teeth and abscence of deirids. The new subgenus is named *Rhabdochonoides*. The second new species is named *R. grandipapillata* n. sp. coincides directly to the subgenus *Rhabdochona* of Moravec (1975).

Description and measurement are based on 10 specimens of both male and female worms for the two species. Paratype and holotype specimens are deposited at Parasitology Section, Biology Department, College of Science, University of Mosul, Iraq. Additional specimens are deposited at Helminths Section, British Museum (N. H.), U. K. The authors are greatly indebted to Dr. Prudhoe (Formarly Director of Dritish Museum) for his help in confirming the new species.

References

- Agrawal, V. (1965): Some new nematode parasites from freshwater fishes of Lucknow. Ind. J. Helm., 17, 1-17.
- Ali, S. M. (1956): Studies on the nematode parasites of fishes and birds found in Hyderabad State, Ind. J. Hel., 8, 1-83.
- Dinniki, (1933): Rhabdochona fortunatowi n, sp. in intestine of Varicorhinus capoeta sevangi. Trudy Sevansk. Ozern. Starts, 4, 113-116.
- Karve, J. N. et Naik, G. G. (1951): Some parasitic nematodes of fishes II., J. Univ. Bombay, Biol. Sc. n. s., 1-37.
- 5) Meravec, M. (1975): Reconstruction of the nematode genus *Rhabdochona* Railliet, 1916 with a review of the species parasitic in fishes of Europe and Asia. Nakladatelstvi Ceskosloveske akademie ved, Praha.
- 6) Moravec, F. and Daniel, M. (1976): *Rhab-dochona minima* sp. n. (Nematoda: Spiruroidea) from the loach, *Noemacheilus inglisi* (Hora), of Nepal. Folia Parasit. 23, 175-178.
 7) D. Elling A. (1916): La familla das Thala
- 7) Railliet, A. (1916): La famille des Thela-

376

ziidae. J. Parasit., 2, 99-105.

- Rhasheed, S. (1965): A preliminary review of the genus *Rhabdochona* Railliet, 1916 with description of a new and related genus. Acta Parasit. Pol., 13, 407-424.
- Spaul, E. A. (1927): On a new species of the nematode genus *Rhabdochona*. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., 196, 636-641.
- Sramek, A. (1901): Helminthen der an der zeologischen station in Podiebrad (Bohmen) untersuchten Fische. Arch. naturw. Landes-

durchforschung Bohmen II: 94-118 (Czech transl. in 1903).

- Thapar, G. S. (1950): Two new species of the genus *Rhabdochona* Railliet, 1916, from Indian fishes. Ind. J. Helm., 2, 35-40.
- Weller, T. H. (1938): Description of *Rhab*dochona ovifilamenta n. sp. (Nematoda: Thelaziidae) with a note on the life history. J. parasit., 24, 403-408.
- Wu, H. W. (1949): A note on two parasitic nematodes of fishes. Sinensia, 20, 51-57.

イラク産淡水魚 Cyprinion macrostomus から得た Rhabdochona 属新線虫2種

Z. I. F. RAHEMO AND M. H. KASIM

(モスル大学理学部生物学教室)

モスル地区のチグリス川産淡水魚(コイ科)の腸から 得られた線虫をグリセリンゼリーまたはラクトフェノー ルで透化,形態および虫体各部測定は2種とも雌雄各 10虫体につき精査した.その結果,ユーラシア産 Rhabdochona 属既知種との形態的相違点を重視し,それ ぞれ Rhabdochona mesopotamica n. sp., R. grandipapillata n. sp. と命名した. 前者にはおもな特徴とし て口腔内歯が多数で頸乳頭を欠いていることから新亜属 Rhabdochonoides を設けた. 後者は既設の Rhabdochona 亜属に属す.